



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/690,458	10/20/2003	Alfred M. Ajami	3287,1000-003	4844	
21005 75	590 11/16/2004		EXAMINER		
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 VIRGINIA ROAD			HUANG, EVELYN MEI		
P.O. BOX 9133		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CONCORD, M	IA 01742-9133		1625		
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_									
Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/690	,458	AJAMI ET AL.					
		Examir	ner	Art Unit					
		Evelyn		1625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE MAILII - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If the period f - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO f time may be available under the provisions of MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- or reply specified above is less than thirty (30 for reply is specified above, the maximum state by within the set or extended period for reply verived by the Office later than three months af- t term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no unication. of days, a reply within the substratory period will apply any will, by statute, cause the same of the s	event, however, may a reply statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS application to become ABANE	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co					
Status									
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed	d on 16 August 20	04.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
3) Since	, 								
Disposition of	Claims								
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim 6) ☑ Claim 7) ☑ Claim 8) ☐ Claim Application Pa 9) ☐ The sp	pecification is objected to by the	e withdrawn from one is/are rejected. ion and/or election Examiner.	consideration.	he Examiner.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-048)		nary (PTO-413) ail Date					
3) 🔲 Information 🛭	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PMail Date			nal Patent Application (PTO	-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27, 30-39 are pending. Claims 28, 29 have been canceled according to the amendment filed on 8-16-2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The rejection for Claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph is withdrawn because the amendment has obviated the rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The rejection for Claims 1-29 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brana I (4204063, PTO-1449) in view of Brana II (5420137, PTO-1449), Berge (Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 1977, 66(1); 1-19) and Zee-Cheng (4614820) is maintained for reasons of record. The rejection is applicable to new claims 30-32, 34-35, 37-39.

Applicant argues that the ease of purification of the salts of the present invention as shown in Table 3 is unexpected and thereby rendering the instant non-obvious over the prior art of record.

However, ease of purification is not an innate property of the compound. It is dependent on a combination of factors, such as the solvents, the operating conditions etc. The results under different conditions as shown in Table 3 therefore fail to render the inventive compound unobvious over the prior art compound, since unexpected properties must be compared on a visà-vis basis, and no generalization can be concluded.

Furthermore, Table 3 shows that the purity of the instant carboxylic acid salts after 1x crystallization ranges from 97 to 99.6%, whereas the purity of the non-carboxylic acid salts ranges from 92-99.2%. Thus there is an overlap in range. In the absence of statistical analysis in a side-by-side comparison, distinction between these two ranges cannot be concluded. Unexpected results therefore has not been established, the instant remains obvious over the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,458

Art Unit: 1625

Page 3

The comparative data presented in Table 4 (pages 31-32 of the specification) fails to render the instant over the prior art of record for the following reasons. While some of the amonafide organic acid salt of the instant are more soluble in distilled water and in saline at high concentration than the corresponding HCl salt, such a result is not unexpected as it is well recognized in the art that organic acid salts of basic drugs, such as amines, were more soluble in water than the corresponding inorganic (halide) salts (Berge et al. 1977, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences, 66(1); 1-19). Indeed, Brana's methansulfonic salt at high concentration is soluble both in saline and distilled water (as shown on page 29 of the specification) and is more soluble than some of the instant carboxylic acid salts. The various carboxylic acid salts of amonafide (tartrate, malonate, citrate, succinate, fumarate or maleate etc) in the Table have similar solubility among themselves, and are similar to the amonafide methansulfonic acid salt of Brana. The instant claims directed to the amonafide carboxylic acid salts or the malic or glycolic acid salts of the non-amonafide compound embraced in the generic claims therefore remain obvious over the prior art of record since unexpected results have not been established for these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The enablement rejection for Claims 20-29 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, is withdrawn the claims have been amended to the method of treating leukemia, breast, colon, lung or prostate cancer, which find support on pages 33-38 of the specification and in Figures 2-10.

Double Patenting

5. The timely filed terminal disclaimer has obviated the rejection for Claims 11-29 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 27 of U.S. Patent No. 6693198 in view of Brana I (4204063, PTO-1449).

Art Unit: 1625

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 33, 36, directed to the composition and method of use of amonafide malate, (amonafide malate has been allowed in the parent application) are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn Huang whose telephone number is 571-272-0686. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/690,458 Page 5

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Evelyn Huang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625